

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUSTRALIAN RANGELAND SOCIETY**

**19<sup>th</sup> BIENNIAL CONFERENCE**

**Official publication of The Australian Rangeland Society**

**Copyright and Photocopying**

© The Australian Rangeland Society 2017. All rights reserved.

For non-personal use, no part of this item may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission of the Australian Rangeland Society and of the author (or the organisation they work or have worked for). Permission of the Australian Rangeland Society for photocopying of articles for non-personal use may be obtained from the Secretary who can be contacted at the email address, [secretary@austrangesoc.com.au](mailto:secretary@austrangesoc.com.au)

For personal use, temporary copies necessary to browse this site on screen may be made and a single copy of an article may be downloaded or printed for research or personal use, but no changes are to be made to any of the material. This copyright notice is not to be removed from the front of the article.

All efforts have been made by the Australian Rangeland Society to contact the authors. If you believe your copyright has been breached please notify us immediately and we will remove the offending material from our website.

**Form of Reference**

The reference for this article should be in this general form:

Author family name, initials (year). Title. In: Proceedings of the 19th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference. Pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

For example:

Bastin, G., Sparrow, A., Scarth, P., Gill, T., Barnetson, J. and Staben, G. (2015). Are we there yet? Tracking state and change in Australia's rangelands. In: 'Innovation in the Rangelands. Proceedings of the 18th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference, Alice Springs'. (Ed. M.H. Friedel) 5 pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Parkside, SA).

**Disclaimer**

The Australian Rangeland Society and Editors cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information obtained in this article or in the Proceedings of the Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conferences. The views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors, neither does the publication of advertisements constitute any endorsement by the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors of the products.



*The Australian Rangeland Society*

# Rangeland plants in a warming world

Andy Leigh<sup>1,2</sup> and Ellen Curtis<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Author for correspondence: andrea.leigh@uts.edu.au

<sup>2</sup> School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, PO Box 123, Broadway, NSW 2007

Australia's rangeland vegetation is a vital asset to this country, supporting a multi-billion dollar grazing industry (Bastin 2008) and responsible for significant carbon drawdown (Cleverly *et al.*, 2013; Eamus *et al.*, 2016). Australian rangeland plants often grow in extremely nutrient-poor soils, cope with highly variable rainfall and are predominantly evergreen (although less so in the northern regions) (Morton *et al.*, 2011). Because of its fundamental effect on biological processes, temperature is a critical determinant of species survival and distribution (Tattersall *et al.* 2012; Körner *et al.* 2016). Recent years have seen Australia's climate records being broken almost annually, with high temperature extremes becoming more frequent and intense (Hennessy *et al.* 2007; IPCC 2014). To be maintained on a plant and to remain healthy for several seasons, the leaves of rangeland species must be able to withstand critically high temperatures. Heat stress tolerance of Australian arid and semi-arid land plants has only recently been investigated (Curtis *et al.*, 2014; Curtis *et al.*, 2016; O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2017).

Our research explores how different rangeland plant species respond to heat stress and what factors influence variation in their tolerance to high temperature. In a series of linked experiments, we have measured thermal tolerance thresholds for 47 species growing under comparable conditions at the Australian Arid Lands Botanic Garden, Port Augusta, South Australia. Thermal thresholds are measured using chlorophyll fluorometry to determine the point at which the leaf photosynthetic tissue begins to sustain irreversible damage:  $T_{50}$  (Knight & Ackerly 2003; Curtis *et al.* 2014). Using a series of temperature controlled water baths, we subject leaves to different stress treatments (ranging from 36 °C to 56 °C, plus a control treatment of 28 °C).  $T_{50}$  is determined as the temperature at which photosystem health declines to 50% of pre-stress levels; this index can be used to compare relative thermal tolerance among species.

As plant thermal tolerance is known to vary with environmental conditions (Knight & Ackerly 2003), we have measured  $T_{50}$  in winter, spring and summer. Not only environmental temperature, but also water availability can influence how plants experience temperature. One reason for this is that many plants close their stomata when water stressed, which impairs their ability for evaporative cooling (Macinnis-Ng & Eamus 2009; Teskey *et al.* 2015). We therefore have grouped species according to their natural preferences for particular micro-habitat conditions with respect to water availability. For example, xeric-adapted species are defined as those growing on well-drained slopes and ridgetops; whereas more mesic-adapted species include those growing along dry riverbeds and floodplains.

Our research has found that plant high temperature tolerance varies widely, not only among species, but also through time and space. In a given season,  $T_{50}$  can vary by up to 10 °C among species (Curtis *et al.* 2014; Curtis *et al.*, *in review*). We also have found clear seasonal differences, with average thresholds increasing by 5 °C from winter to summer (Curtis *et al.*, *in review*). In spite of this clear shift, the trajectory for increasing thresholds across seasons also varies, with different groups of species shifting early vs late in the season or not at all. Regardless of seasonal effects, plants inherently adapted to more readily accessible water have significantly lower thermal tolerance thresholds than species naturally occurring in local micro-habitats where water availability is more restricted or short-lived (Curtis *et al.* 2016; Curtis *et al.*, *in review*). By contrast,  $T_{50}$  does not appear to correspond with species' broad-scale, regional distribution. These results suggest that the ability of species to adjust their heat tolerance is somewhat genetically constrained and relates to the conditions of their native habitat.

Our findings have implications for predicting how different rangeland species will respond to altered timing, frequency and intensity of heat waves. In particular, if high temperature extremes are coupled with drought, species in previously wetter, productive habitats potentially are most vulnerable to heat stress among rangeland plants. Given the importance of these areas in supporting a multi-billion dollar grazing industry (Bastin, 2008), along with their critical role as refugia for biodiversity (Morton, 1990; Morton *et al.*, 1995), this is an unsettling proposition. We suggest that management and modelling efforts a) account for temporal variation of thermal

tolerance and b) incorporate species distribution information at the finer scale of habitat type, rather than the predominant focus on broader, regional scales.

## Acknowledgments

This research would not have been possible without the generous support of the Port Augusta City Council and the Friends of the Australian Arid Lands Botanic Garden (AALBG), who facilitated the work through a Research Collaboration Agreement with the University of Technology Sydney (UTS), established in 2012. All work was conducted on site at the AALBG at the UTS Research Facility, with invaluable assistance from nursery staff and Ronda Hall. E. Curtis was supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

## References

- Bastin, G. (2008) Taking the Pulse — published on behalf of the ACRIS Management Committee by the National Land & Water Resources Audit, Canberra.
- Cleverly, J., Boulain, N., Villalobos-Vega, R., Grant, N., Faux, R., Wood, C., Cook, P.G., Yu, Q., Leigh, A. & Eamus, D. (2013) Dynamics of component carbon fluxes in a semi-arid *Acacia* woodland, central Australia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, **118**, 1168-1185.
- Curtis, E.M., Gollan, J., Murray, B.R. & Leigh, A. (2016) Native microhabitats better predict tolerance to warming than latitudinal macro-climatic variables in arid-zone plants. *Journal of biogeography*, **43**, 1156-1165.
- Curtis, E.M., Knight, C.A., Petrou, K. & Leigh, A. (2014) A comparative analysis of photosynthetic recovery from thermal stress: a desert plant case study. *Oecologia*, **175**, 1051-1061.
- Eamus D, Huete A, Cleverly J, Nolan RH, Ma X, Tarin T, Santini NS. 2016. Mulga, a major tropical dry open forest of Australia: recent insights to carbon and water fluxes. *Environmental Research Letters* 11(12): 125011.
- Hennessy, K., Fitzharris, B., Bates, B.C., Harvey, N., Howden, S.M., Hughes, L., Salinger, J. & Warrick, R. (2007) Australia and New Zealand. *Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (eds M.L. Parry, O.F. Canziani, J.P. Palutikof, P.J. van der Linden & C.E. Hanson), pp. 507-540. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- IPCC (2014) Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. *Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Edenhofer, O., R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, E. Farahani, S. Kadner, K. Seyboth, A. Adler, I. Baum, S. Brunner, P. Eickemeier, B. Kriemann, J. Savolainen, S. Schlömer, C. von Stechow, T. Zwickel and J.C. Minx (eds.)] pp. 1 - 1435. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Knight, C.A. & Ackerly, D.D. (2003) Evolution and plasticity of photosynthetic thermal tolerance, specific leaf area and leaf size: congeneric species from desert and coastal environments. *New Phytologist*, **160**, 337-347.
- Körner, C., Basler, D., Hoch, G., Kollas, C., Lenz, A., Randin, C.F., Vitasse, Y. & Zimmermann, N.E. (2016) Where, why and how? Explaining the low-temperature range limits of temperate tree species. *Journal of Ecology*, **104**, 1076-1088.
- Macinnis-Ng, C. & Eamus, D. (2009) *Climate change and water use of native vegetation*. Research Report, Land & Water Australia, Canberra.
- Morton SR 1990. The impact of European settlement on the vertebrate animals of arid Australia: a conceptual model. *Proceedings of the Ecological Society of Australia*. 201-213.
- Morton SR, Short J, Barker RD 1995. Refugia for biological diversity in arid and semi-arid Australia. Biodiversity Series, Paper No. 4: Department of the Environment, Sport and Territories.

- Morton SR, Smith DMS, Dickman CR *et al.* (2011) A fresh framework for the ecology of arid Australia. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **75**, 313-329.
- Tattersall, G.J., Sinclair, B.J., Withers, P.C., Fields, P.A., Seebacher, F., Cooper, C.E. & Maloney, S.K. (2012) Coping with thermal challenges: physiological adaptations to environmental temperatures. *Comprehensive Physiology*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Teskey, R., Wertin, T., Bauweraerts, I., Ameye, M., McGuire, M.A. & Steppe, K. (2015) Responses of tree species to heat waves and extreme heat events. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, **38**, 1699-1712.
- O'Sullivan OS, Heskell MA, Reich PB, Tjoelker MG, Weerasinghe LK, Penillard A, Zhu L, Egerton JJG, Bloomfield KJ, Creek D, et al. 2017. Thermal limits of leaf metabolism across biomes. *Global Change Biology* 23(1): 209-223.