PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUSTRALIAN RANGELAND SOCIETY 19th BIENNIAL CONFERENCE

Official publication of The Australian Rangeland Society

Copyright and Photocopying

© The Australian Rangeland Society 2017. All rights reserved.

For non-personal use, no part of this item may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission of the Australian Rangeland Society and of the author (or the organisation they work or have worked for). Permission of the Australian Rangeland Society for photocopying of articles for non-personal use may be obtained from the Secretary who can be contacted at the email address, secretary@austrangesoc.com.au

For personal use, temporary copies necessary to browse this site on screen may be made and a single copy of an article may be downloaded or printed for research or personal use, but no changes are to be made to any of the material. This copyright notice is not to be removed from the front of the article.

All efforts have been made by the Australian Rangeland Society to contact the authors. If you believe your copyright has been breached please notify us immediately and we will remove the offending material from our website.

Form of Reference

The reference for this article should be in this general form:

Author family name, initials (year). Title. In: Proceedings of the 19th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference. Pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

For example:

Bastin, G., Sparrow, A., Scarth, P., Gill, T., Barnetson, J. and Staben, G. (2015). Are we there yet? Tracking state and change in Australia's rangelands. In: 'Innovation in the Rangelands. Proceedings of the 18th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference, Alice Springs'. (Ed. M.H. Friedel) 5 pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Parkside, SA).

Disclaimer

The Australian Rangeland Society and Editors cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information obtained in this article or in the Proceedings of the Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conferences. The views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors, neither does the publication of advertisements constitute any endorsement by the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors of the products.



In search of tropically adapted cattle: does size matter? Lester Pahl

Department of Agriculture and Fisheries Queensland. 203 Tor St, Toowoomba, Qld. 4350. lester.pahl@daf.qld.gov.au

Keywords: tropics, beef cattle, frame size, adaptation

Abstract. The body size of individuals of a species of herbivore in the tropics can be half that of individuals of the same species in temperate zones. This occurs because the tropics do not have the large quantities of high quality, young, green grass leaves needed by large herbivores for growth, gestation, lactation and replenishment of weight lost during the dry season. Instead, the tropics have large quantities of tall grasses containing high levels of fibre and lignin. Herbivores take a long time to masticate and ruminate this, and they take a long time to digest it. This limits their dry matter intake, and consequently the energy and nutrients available to them.

Tropical environments select for cattle with small frame size, while industry selects for large frame size. Industry maintains large cattle through a range of management practices, sometimes with very high costs. It is proposed that smaller framed cows will more regularly achieve condition scores needed for high weaning rates. Higher weaning rates should increase the amount of beef produced per hectare, and smaller framed cows may have lower production costs.

Introduction

Bergmann's Rule (Bergmann 1847), which states that mammals and birds which live in cold environments are larger than those from hot environments, has been confirmed by dozens of studies, for the majority of species, world-wide (e.g. McNamara *et al.* 2016). Hence, mammals and birds are often small in the tropics, then increase to a maximum size in the temperate zones.

The essential activities of growth, reproduction and survival are governed by the rate that animals acquire, process and transform energy, which is closely related to body size and ambient temperature (Smith and Lyons 2011). Not surprisingly then, natural selection pressure acts very strongly on animal body size.

Beef producers and markets for beef cattle select for cattle with large frame size, due to high individual value and transporting and processing efficiencies. However, this is at odds with selection for small frame size by tropical environments. Consequently, large-framed cows are likely to require higher levels of management effort if they are to produce calves as consistently as small-framed cows.

Methods

A review of literature was undertaken to determine the extent that animals conform to Bergmann's Rule world-wide, the reasons for animals being smaller in the tropics, and the potential implications of this for cattle productivity in northern Australia. The review used a variety of search engines to access literature related to Bergmann's Rule.

Results and Discussion

Widespread latitudinal variation in animal body size has been confirmed by many empirical studies. Of interest now are the mechanisms responsible for this, which are mainly climatic factors which directly or indirectly impact animals.

Thermoregulation and body size

As heat flow and heat storage are body size dependent, variation in body size may be an adaptation to variation in ambient temperature (Pluske *et al.* 2010). This has been the conclusion of many empirical studies which found that latitudinal variation in temperature was inversely related to latitudinal variation in the body size of mammals and birds (e.g. Morales-Castilla *et al.* 2012). However, variation in ambient temperature and its relationship with heat conservation and dissipation is a crude explanation of latitudinal variation in body size. For example, several studies of birds and mammals have found that body size variation was correlated with gradients of both temperature and humidity, and hence were closely related with factors which determine food availability (Blois *et al.* 2008).

Food quality and herbivore body size

It has been proposed that mammal body and skeletal size is largely determined by food quantity and quality during ontogenetic growth (Huston and Wolverton 2011). Hence, herbivores can only attain large body size if large quantities of high quality forage are available for long periods of time.

Globally, the duration and intensity of plant growing seasons are longest in the temperate zones, and then decline towards the tropics and the Arctic/Antarctic (Geist 1987). This aligns with latitudinal variation in the body mass of cervids in North America (Huston and Wolverton 2011). White-tailed deer found at 10° north were 65% smaller than individuals of this species at 50° north, and similarly, moose at 45° north were 45% smaller than moose at 60° north. In contrast to this, the body weight of caribou, which are found at the highest latitudes, decreased with latitude, corresponding to the decrease in the duration of the growing season above 50° north. Caribou at 80° north were 65% smaller than caribou at 55° north.

Similar latitude variation in body size occurs in Australia, where the size of five species of native mammals increased with latitude from 10° to 45° S (Yom-Tov and Nix 1986). In the northern most locations, echidnas were 75% smaller, brush-tail possums were 65% smaller, and western grey, eastern grey and red kangaroos were all around 50% smaller.

The length of the growing season and the availability of green grass leaves is also positively correlated with the live-weight gains of steers in Queensland (Burrows et al. 2010). This is supported by findings that cattle and sheep dry matter intake (DMI) of young grass leaf is considerably higher than that of older grass leaf, and DMI of grass leaf is considerably higher than that of grass stem of the same age (Poppi et al. 1981, Drescher et al. 2006). This is partly due to differences in the chemical and physical composition of these forages. As grass leaves age, crude protein levels decline and indigestible neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and lignin, increase (ArchimeAde et al. 2000). Similarly, grass leaves have higher crude protein levels and lower indigestible NDF and lignin levels than grass stems (Poppi et al. 1980, McLeod et al. 1990). Young grass leaf is digested at a faster rate than old leaf or stem (ArchimeAde et al. 2000), allowing this to pass through the rumen more quickly (Lechner-Doll et al. 1990), which in turn increases DMI. Furthermore, young grass leaves break into small particles more readily than older leaves (ArchimeÁde et al. 2000), which break into smaller particles more easily than stems (McLeod et al. 1990). Small particles are digested more quickly and are retained for a shorter time than large particles, enabling higher DMI. Additionally, less chewing and ruminating time needed for forages that more easily break into small particles also increases DMI. It is for these reasons that the DMI of cattle and sheep is negatively related to the fibre and lignin content of forage (Meyer et al. 2010), and why DMI declines with forage maturation (Edouard et al. 2008).

Consequently, pastures that contain more young leaves for longer periods of time will facilitate higher live-weight gains of cattle. In the tropics, the rapid maturation of C4 grasses, involving increases in fibre and lignin content and corresponding decreases in digestibility, results in lower DMI and reduced animal performance compared with temperate regions. Given that DMI determines the quantity of energy and nutrients available for maintenance, growth and reproduction, and that it is more difficult for large herbivores to maintain sufficient DMI in the tropics, it is not surprising that herbivores are often smaller in tropical regions.

In conclusion, it is likely that good performance of large framed cattle will require longer periods of access to high quality, very young, green grass leaves, and thus, long pasture growing seasons. Compared with southern Australia, young grass leaves mature at a faster rate and represent a smaller proportion of standing dry matter in the northern one-third of the continent. Poor soil fertility, high temperatures during the pasture growing season, and the presence of tall C4 grasses with a high proportion of stems all conspire to produce forage of low quality. Due to the limited availability of high quality young green grass leaves in northern Australia, large framed cattle will experience nutritional stress more often than smaller framed cattle.

References

- ArchimeÁde, H., Bovala, M., Alexandrea, G., XandeÂa, A., Aumonta, G., and Poncet, C. (2000). Effect of regrowth age on intake and digestion of *Digitaria decumbens* consumed by Black-belly sheep. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **87**, 153-162.
- Bergmann, Carl (1847). "Über die Verhältnisse der Wärmeökonomie der Thiere zu ihrer Grösse". *Göttinger Studien.* **3**(1), 595–708.
- Blois, J., Feranec, R., and Hadly, E. (2008). Environmental influences on spatial and temporal patterns of body-size variation in California ground squirrels (*Spermophilus beecheyi*). *Journal of Biogeography* **35**, 602–613.
- Burrows, W., Orr, D., Hendricksen, R., Rutherford, M., Myles, D., Back, P., and Gowen, R. (2010). Impacts of grazing management options on pasture and animal productivity in a *Heteropogon contortus* (black speargrass) pasture in central Queensland. 4. Animal production. *Animal Production Science* **50**, 284–292.

- Drescher, M., Ignas., Heitko 'nig, M., Raats, J., and Prins, H. (2006). The role of grass stems as structural foraging deterrents and their effects on the foraging behaviour of cattle. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **101**, 10–26.
- Edouard, N., Fleurance, G., Martin-Rosset, W., Duncan, P., Dulphy, J., Grange, S., Baumont, R., Dubroeucq, H., Pe'rez-Barberı'a, F., and Gordon, I. (2008). Voluntary intake and digestibility in horses: effect of forage quality with emphasis on individual variability. *Animal* **2**, 1526–1533.
- Geist, V. (1987). Bergmann's rule is invalid. Canadian Journal of Zoology 65(4), 1035-1038.
- Huston, M., and Wolverton, S. (2011). Regulation of animal size by eNPP, Bergmann's rule, and related phenomena. *Ecological Monographs* **81**(3), 349–405.
- Lechner-Doll, M., Rutagwenda, T., Schwartz, H., Schultka, W., and Engelhardt, W. (1990). Seasonal changes of ingesta mean retention time and forestomach fluid volume in indigenous camels, cattle, sheep and goats grazing a thornbush savannah pasture in Kenya. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **115**, 409-420.
- McLeod, M., Kennedy, M., and Minson, D. (1990). Resistance of leaf and stem fractions of tropical forage to chewing and passage in cattle. *British Journal of Nutrition* **63**, 105 -119.
- McNamara, J., Higginson, A., and Verhulst, S. (2016). The influence of the starvation–predation tradeoff on the relationship between ambient temperature and body size among endotherms. *Journal of Biogeography* **43**, 809–819.
- Meyer, K., Hummel, J., and Clauss, M. (2010). The relationship between forage cell wall content and voluntary food intake in mammalian herbivores. *Mammal Review* **40**, 221–245.
- Morales-Castilla, I., Rodríguez, M., and Hawkins, B. (2012). Deep phylogeny, net primary productivity, and global body size gradient in birds. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **106**: 880-892.
- Pluske, J., Slade, A., and Vercoe, P. (2010). Weather and wether: effects of wind, temperature and rain on sheep feedlot production. *Australasian Agribusiness Review* **18** 2010.
- Poppi D., Minson, D., and Ternouth, J. (1980). Studies of cattle and sheep eating leaf and stem fractions of grasses. I the voluntary intake, digestibility and retention time in the reticula-rumen. *Australian Journal Agricultural Research* **32**, 99-108.
- Poppi D., Minson, D., and Ternouth, J. (1981). Studies of cattle and sheep eating leaf and stem fractions of grasses. 11 factors controlling the retention of feed in the reticulo-rumen. *Australian Journal Agricultural Research* **32**, 109-21.
- Smith, F., and Lyons, S. (2011). How big should a mammal be? A macroecological look at mammalian body size over space and time. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Society B* **366**, 2364–2378.
- Yom-Tov, Y., and Nix, H. (1986). Climatological correlates for body size of five species of Australian mammals. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **29**, 245-262.